1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

	ANTHONY MARTIN,)
	Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:14-cv-0134-GMN-PAL
	vs.) ORDER
	DOUGLAS SMITH, MICHAEL PETERS,)
	INGRID PATIN, LLOYD BAKER, and)
	LLOYDS SUMMIT INSURANCE,)
)
	Defendants.)
)
П	I .	

10

11

12

13

14

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, (ECF No. 4), which states that Plaintiff Anthony Martin's Complaint, (ECF No. 5), should be dismissed with prejudice.

15 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 16 17

D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. Id. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a

19

18

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is

20

not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an

21 22 objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized

23

that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114,

24

25

1122 (9th Cir. 2003). Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. Accordingly,

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 4), is
2	ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint, (ECF No. 5), is DISMISSED
4	WITH PREJUDICE. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.
5	DATED this 7th day of November, 2014.
6	(Alle
7	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
8	United States District Court
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	